Implementation Recommendations

Does the FACDQ want to recommend that the following implementation issues should be identified in the final report?

What is the lead time labs need to learn the new procedure and perform the determination	
for all r	nethods and instruments in their laboratory?
Which states will need to make corresponding changes in their rules and should this	
	ce the effective date of any new rule?
How do we ensure method developers are aware of the new changes?	
	porting and compliance determination recommendations rely heavily on the existence
_	onal QLs which raises several issues:
	•
	meantime?
	Should EPA grandfather some of the existing ML's into the new program, making
	them de facto national QLs?
	methods?
	Is there a more cost effective approach to generating national QLs? Laboratory-
	generated data?
What guidance is needed for states?	
	Confusion between the old and new program, and lots of different QL types
	(national, state, permit, lab)
	Guidance that accompanies the final rule would ensure consistent state
	implementation and a level playing field
	Coordination with EPA Regions and States critical – training and workshops are
	needed regarding permit writing, reporting, and compliance determinations
What partnerships should EPA form to ensure smooth implementation?	
	Laboratory certifying and accrediting programs
	ACIL
	NACWA
	ASIWPCA

Does the FACDQ want to include the information on Implementation Timeline and Education and Outreach, and the Flow Chart as attachments to the final report and due to time constraints identify that they are non-consensus documents, but are just suggestions from various caucuses that could be used for informational purposes only as the EPA addresses implementation?

Would organizations represented by members of the Committee commit to not objecting to rules

☐ Others?

Will EPA have the right resources?

that implement the intent of the Committee recommendations?